## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/586,747 06/02/2000 Paul R. Burnett Army 105 7935 30951 7590 10/25/2007 **EXAMINER** NASH & TITUS, LLC 21402 UNISON RD WANG, SHENGJUN MIDDLEBURG, VA 20117 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1617

MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

10/25/2007 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/586,747	BURNETT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
1			

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	A-4 11:4		
=gg		Art Unit		
	Shengjun Wang	1617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ol>				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office of the final rejection, of	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);		
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>			the issues for	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).	
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	will not be entered, or b)      will will will will will will will	I be entered and an e	explanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the cont</li></ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the considere	t does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowar	ice because:	
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. ☑ Other: copy of claims with marks showing the changes.		SHENG, PRIMARY Shengjun Wang	UN WANG	
		Shengjun Wang Primary Examiner	a manifacto	

Art Unit: 1617

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the prior office action. Applicants' arguments as to the reissue declaration are unpersuasive. As discussed in the prior offie action, the supplemental reissue declaration filed September 27, 2004 assert patentee claimed less than the patentee had the right to claim in the patent. The assertion is incorrect based on the claims currectly pending. Further, the declaration does not use the phrase "original and first" inventor.\* Note "original joint inventors" as recited in the declaration does not necessarily to include the "original and first inventor." Applicants' amendment does not comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b) as the amendemnts have not been made to show the changes vis-à-vis the original patent as required. See attachment

\*Note 37 CFR 1.63(a)(4)